



EOSINOPHILIC FASCIITIS AS DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS OF SYSTEMIC SCLEROSIS

Claudia Valeria Vierhout (Hospital PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil), Nadia Regina Bossolan Schincariol (Hospital PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil), José Alexandre Mendonça (Hospital PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil), Lucas Eduardo Pedri (Hospital PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil), André Marun Lyrio (Hospital PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil), Rubens Bonfiglioli (Hospital da PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil), José Roberto Provenza (Hospital PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil), Vanessa Ramos Guissa (Hospital PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil), Fernanda Bertucci Sanches (Hospital PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil), Thais Campos Ferreira Pinto (Hospital PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil), Igor Tadeu Garcia Ferreira (Hospital PUC Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brasil)

BACKGROUND

Eosinophilic fasciitis (EF) is a rare disorder and its etiology is still obscure. It seems to occur equally in both sexes, in individuals between 40-50 years of age. Main involvement is cutaneous and, usually, it is sequential: symmetrical and painful infiltration of extremities; oedema and peau d'orange appearance of the skin; cutaneous thickening and hypopigmentation. The groove sign is a characteristic feature. Visceral findings are rare, generally including renal and hematological affections. It is mandatory to differentiate EF from systemic sclerosis (SSc). Unlike EF, in SSc fascia is spared. On the other hand, in EF microstomia, Raynaud phenomenon, sclerodactyly and telangiectasia are absent. There are no globally accepted diagnostic criteria for ES, making it difficult to establish differential diagnoses. Laboratory findings include high levels of Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP) and IgE, eosinophilia (not obligatory) and hypergammaglobulinemia. Eventually, Rheumatoid factor (RF) and antinuclear antibodies (ANA) may be present, whereas Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA), anti-DNA and Extractable Nuclear Antigens (ENA) are negative. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has an important role in ES, revealing characteristic conditions (such as thickening of the fascia), guiding the biopsy and assisting in monitoring the disease. Fascial biopsy remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of EF.

CASE REPORT

A 50-year-old woman presented with diffuse pain, swelling and thickening of the skin in the past five months. She also complained about weight loss, fever, difficulty in mobilizing and pruritus. Physical examination revealed the groove sign, peau d'orange appearance of the skin and indurated edema. Raynaud phenomenon, sclerodactyly and telangiectasia were not found. Laboratory results showed hypereosinophilia ($3276/\text{mm}^3$ - 36%), ESR and CRP were elevated, ANA was positive in low titer (1:80). No marker of systemic sclerosis was found (anticentromere and anti-Scl70 were negative). Capillaroscopy was normal. Imaging exams were inconclusive (there were no fascial abnormalities in MRI). A biopsy of the right leg was performed revealing moderate active chronic fasciitis. The diagnosis of eosinophilic fasciitis was established. Due to the aggressive behavior of the disease, methylprednisolone pulse therapy was initiated, followed by cyclophosphamide and prednisone in high doses (0.5mg/kg), with no clinical benefit until this moment.

CONCLUSION

The differential diagnosis between EF and SSc is a challenge, often requiring invasive procedures, such as fascial biopsy. It is primordial, however, to establish the correct diagnosis, once the treatment of these two entities is different.