# Parametrization of singularities of the Demiański-Newman spacetimes

Colistete Jr., R.

Departamento de Química e Física, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Alegre, ES, Brazil

roberto.colistete@ufes.br

Gariel, J.

LERMA, CNRS UMRS8112, Université Paris-Sorbonne, Université Pierre et Marie Curie,

Université de Cergy-Pontoise, Observatoire de Paris-Meudon 5, Place Jules Janssen, F-92195 Meudon Cedex, France

jerome.gariel@obspm.fr

Marcilhacy, G.

LERMA, CNRS UMRS8112, Université Paris-Sorbonne, Université Pierre et Marie Curie,

Université de Cergy-Pontoise, Observatoire de Paris-Meudon 5, Place Jules Janssen, F-92195 Meudon Cedex, France

gmarcilhacy@hotmail.com

Santos, N. O.

LERMA, CNRS UMRS8112, Université Paris-Sorbonne, Université Pierre et Marie Curie,

Université de Cergy-Pontoise, Observatoire de Paris-Meudon 5, Place Jules Janssen, F-92195 Meudon Cedex, France

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary, University of London, London E1 4NS, UK

Departamento de Física Teórica, Instituto de Física,

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 20550-900, Brazil

n.o.santos@qmul.ac.uk

#### Abstract

We propose a new presentation of the Demiański-Newman solution of the axisymmetric Einstein equations. We introduce new dimensionless parameters p, q and s, but keeping the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate transformation used for the Kerr metric in the Ernst method. The family of Demiański-Newman metrics is studied and it is shown that the main role of s is to determine the singularities, which we obtain by calculating the Riemann tensor components and the invariants of curvature. So, s reveals itself as the parameter of the singular rings on the inner ergosphere.

Keywords: general relativity, classical black holes, singularities, exact solutions

### 1 Introduction

The stationary axisymmetric metric can be written in the Papapetrou form [1]

$$ds^{2} = f(dt - \omega d\phi)^{2} - \frac{e^{2\gamma}(d\rho^{2} + dz^{2}) + \rho^{2}d\phi^{2}}{f}, \quad (1)$$

where the gravitational potentials f,  $\omega$  and  $\gamma$  are functions of the Weyl variables  $\rho$  and z. Some vacuum solutions of Einstein's field equations with (1) have been found [2]. Among these there is the Kerr solution [3] which presents an enormous interest since it has the necessary physical behaviour of being asymptotically flat and static. It has two independent parameters, one being the mass M of its black hole source and another a the angular momentum per unit mass of the black hole. An interesting method to derive this solution was developed by Ernst [4] based on using a complex potential, which regroups the f potential and a twist potential called  $\Omega$ . This twist potential is linked differentially to the dragging  $\omega$  [5, 6].

Among the solutions so far studied for (1) here we are interested on the Demiański-Newman (DN) solution, or also known as the Kerr-NUT solution. This solution has the inconvenient property of not producing an asymptotically flat spacetime. The DN solution has not only the corresponding two parameters of the Kerr metric but also a further third independent parameter l called the KN parameter. The KN parameter has mass dimension and its interpretation usually accepted is that it describes a gravitomagnetic monopole [7, 8]. We show in [9] that by performing a SU(1,1) followed by a unitary transformation we can introduce two new parameters in the Ernst solution. Further, by imposing a certain relation between these new parameters and l a new solution is obtained with a good asymptotic behaviour of the solution for any value of *l*. The new solution corresponds to a parametrized Kerr solution with the KN parameter linked to the form of the ergosphere [6].

Here we want to show the precise role of the KN parameter l in the causal structure of the DN solution. By using the Boyer-Lindquist (BL) coordinates we demonstrate that this parameter plays an essential role in the geometry of the singularities of the DN solution.

The Kerr metric can be easily obtained from the Ernst equation [4]

$$(\xi\xi^* - 1)\nabla^2\xi = 2\xi^*\nabla\xi\cdot\nabla\xi, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the spatial gradient and  $\xi$  is a complex potential being function of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ which are the prolate spheroidal coordinates. By considering, as solution of (2),

$$\xi = p_K \lambda + i q_K \mu, \tag{3}$$

where  $p_K$  and  $q_K$  are real constants satisfying

$$p_K^2 + q_K^2 = 1, (4)$$

we can obtain the Kerr solution.

The theorem of Robinson-Carter (see p. 292 in [10]) demonstrates the uniqueness of this vacuum stationary axisymmetric solution with an asymptotically flat behaviour and smooth convex event horizon without naked singularity. This solution is characterized by only two independent parameters, being the mass M and the angular momentum J = aM, where a is angular momentum per unit mass. The Kerr solution has an event horizon (or outer horizon), a Cauchy horizon (or inner horizon), an outer ergosphere (or stationary limit surface), an inner ergosphere and a ring singularity.

In this paper we propose to generalize the solution (3) by considering

$$\xi = p\lambda + \beta\mu + i(\gamma\lambda + q\mu), \qquad (5)$$

where p,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and q are real constants. The expression (5) is also solution of the Ernst equation and corresponds to the DN solution [7] as we shall see in Section 2. The DN solution obtained through a complex transformation from the Kerr solution, namely the introduction of a constant phase factor (see (8) hereafter), has three independent parameters. The interpretation of the third parameter, usually denoted l, compared to just two parameters in the Kerr solution, is still not clear. In its place we introduce another parameter, being dimensionless and we call s, which parametrizes the singularities in a particularly simple way, as we shall see in Section 4. We write the corresponding metric in Section 3.

### 2 Choice of the parameters

A solution (2) can be expressed by (5) if the following conditions are satisfied

$$p^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + q^2 = 1,$$
 (6)

$$p\beta = -\gamma q. \tag{7}$$

Let us recall that the DN solution [7] is usually presented [5] as

$$\xi_{DN} = e^{ia_1} (p_{DN}\lambda_{DN} + iq_{DN}\mu_{DN}), \qquad (8)$$

with

$$p_{DN}^2 + q_{DN}^2 = 1, (9)$$

where  $p_{DN}$ ,  $q_{DN}$  and  $a_1$  are real constants. The comparison between (5) and (8) imposes

$$p = p_{DN} \cos a_1, \ q = q_{DN} \cos a_1,$$
 (10)

$$\beta = -q_{DN}\sin a_1, \ \gamma = p_{DN}\sin a_1. \tag{11}$$

Then (6) and (7) are automatically satisfied, i.e., there is an identity between (5) and (8). In order to write the DN metric in BL coordinates the following relations are usually considered (e.g. see p. 387 in [5]),

$$\lambda_{DN} = \frac{r_{DN} - M}{k_{DN}}, \ \mu_{DN} = \cos \theta_{DN}, \ (12)$$

$$p_{DN} = \frac{k_{DN}}{\sqrt{M^2 + l^2}}, \ q_{DN} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{M^2 + l^2}},$$
 (13)

$$\cos a_1 = \frac{M}{\sqrt{M^2 + l^2}}, \ \sin a_1 = \frac{l}{\sqrt{M^2 + l^2}},$$
 (14)

with

$$k_{DN}^2 = M^2 + l^2 - a^2, (15)$$

where *l* is a third parameter with mass dimension and  $r_{DN}$  and  $\theta_{DN}$  are BL coordinates. When l = 0, the DN metric becomes the Kerr metric. Here, we shall not proceed in this way and the relations (8)–(15) will not be used. Instead, we shall present the DN solution as follows.

In place of (8), we consider the following complex transformation carried out on the Kerr solution,

$$\xi = e^{i\alpha} (p_K \lambda + i q_K \mu), \qquad (16)$$

where the variables  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are the Kerr's ones. This interpretation of the DN solution, as the Kerr solution with a phase factor, seems simpler and more natural to us. Indeed (5) is, for us, a simple linear extension of the Kerr solution of the Ernst equation.

Then, by identification between (16) and (5) we obtain identical relations to (10) and (11), where  $p_{DN}$  and  $q_{DN}$  are simply replaced by  $p_K$  and  $q_K$ ,

$$p = p_K \cos \alpha, \ q = q_K \cos \alpha, \tag{17}$$

$$\beta = -q_K \sin \alpha, \ \gamma = p_K \sin \alpha. \tag{18}$$

These two Kerr parameters

k

$$p_K = \frac{k}{M}, \ q_K = \frac{a}{M}, \tag{19}$$

with

$$k^2 = M^2 - a^2, (20)$$

are linked to the two physical parameters M and a. Note that  $p_K$  and  $q_K$  are different from  $p_{DN}$  and  $q_{DN}$  given in (13), which are linked to the three parameters M, a and l, though each couple obeys the same relation (9) or (4).

To the third parameter  $\alpha$ , present in the DN solution (16), we associate the dimensionless parameter *s* defined by

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \alpha}, \ (s \ge 1).$$
 (21)

So, the relations (17) and (18) become

$$p = \frac{p_K}{s} = \frac{k}{Ms}, \ q = \frac{q_K}{s} = \frac{a}{Ms}, \tag{22}$$

$$\frac{\gamma}{p} = -\frac{\beta}{q} = \sqrt{s^2 - 1}, \qquad (23)$$

and let us remark that the link between the dimensionless parameter s with the usual KN parameter l is

$$s = \left[1 + \left(\frac{l}{M}\right)^2\right] \left[1 - \left(\frac{l}{M}\right)^2\right]^{-1}, \ l \in [0, \infty[. (24)]$$

Note that (23) also holds from (10) and (11), i.e., in the usual interpretation of the DN solution. (6) with (23) becomes

$$s^2(p^2 + q^2) = 1, (25)$$

which is also in agreement with (22).

Finally, instead of (12) with (15), we introduce BL coordinates,

$$\lambda = \frac{r - M}{k}, \ \mu = \cos \theta. \tag{26}$$

where k is defined in relation (20), which is the BL transformation used for the Kerr solution. In particular, the coordinates are the Kerr's ones, coherently with the solution (16), which is not the case in the usual DN solution for which  $\lambda_{DN}$  depends on l (compare (12) with (26)).

The mapping between the two couples of prolate spheroidal coordinates  $\lambda_{DN}$  and  $\mu_{DN}$  with  $\lambda$ and  $\mu$  can be obtained from their definitions (see (7-3.16) p. 375 of [5]), because the cylindrical coordinates  $\rho$  and z,

$$\rho = k\sqrt{\lambda^{2} - 1}\sqrt{1 - \mu^{2}}$$
  
$$\equiv k_{DN}\sqrt{\lambda_{DN}^{2} - 1}\sqrt{1 - \mu_{DN}^{2}}, \qquad (27)$$

$$z = k\lambda \mu = k_{DN}\lambda_{DN}\mu_{DN}, \qquad (28)$$

of the initial axisymmetric metric (see (7-2.22) p. 371 in [5]) are the same for the two descriptions.

### **3** Metric coefficients

The stationary axisymmetric metric, being in the Papapetrou form, [1, 5] reads

$$ds^{2} = f(dt - \omega d\phi)^{2} - \frac{k^{2}}{f} \left[ e^{2\gamma} (\lambda^{2} - \mu^{2}) \times \left( \frac{d\lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2} - 1} + \frac{d\mu^{2}}{1 - \mu^{2}} \right) + (\lambda^{2} - 1)(1 - \mu^{2})d\phi^{2} \right],$$
(29)

where f,  $\omega$  and  $\gamma$  are functions of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  only. The real part P and the imaginary part Q of  $\xi$ , given by the solution (5), become using (23),

$$P = p\lambda - q\sqrt{s^2 - 1}\mu, \ Q = p\sqrt{s^2 - 1}\lambda + q\mu.$$
(30)

The Ernst method [4, 5] to determine the metric consists to make a homographic transformation

$$\zeta = \frac{\xi - 1}{\xi + 1},\tag{31}$$

where  $\zeta$  is of the form

$$\zeta = f + i\Omega, \tag{32}$$

with *f* being the metric coefficient of the line element (29) and  $\Omega$  being the so called twist potential linked to the dragging of spacetime,  $\omega$ , through the differential relations

$$\omega_{\lambda}' = \frac{k(\mu^2 - 1)}{f^2} \Omega_{\mu}', \ \omega_{\mu}' = \frac{k(\lambda^2 - 1)}{f^2} \Omega_{\lambda}', \ (33)$$

where indexes stand for which variable the differentiation, indicated by primes, is to be taken. Once the partial differential equations (33) are integrated we obtain  $\omega$ . Applying this method we have

$$f = \frac{s^2 [q^2(\mu^2 - 1) + p^2(\lambda^2 - 1)]}{s^2 (p^2 \lambda^2 + q^2 \mu^2) + 2[p\lambda - \sqrt{s^2 - 1}q\mu] + 1},$$
(34)
$$\omega = \frac{2k}{s^2 p} \left[ \frac{q(1 + p\lambda)(1 - \mu^2) + p^2\sqrt{s^2 - 1}(1 - \lambda^2)\mu}{q^2(\mu^2 - 1) + p^2(\lambda^2 - 1)} \right].$$
(35)

From the field equations [4, 5] we also find

$$e^{2\gamma} = \frac{s^2(p^2\lambda^2 + q^2\mu^2) - 1}{p^2(\lambda^2 - \mu^2)}.$$
 (36)

Substituting the expressions for p, q and  $\lambda$ , corresponding to (22) and (26), into (34)–(36), we obtain

$$f = s(r^2 - 2Mr + a^2\mu^2) \left\{ s(r^2 + a^2\mu^2) + 2(s-1)M^2 - 2M[(s-1)r + \sqrt{s^2 - 1}a\mu] \right\}^{-1},$$
 (37)

$$\omega = \frac{2M}{s(r^2 - 2Mr + a^2\mu^2)} \left\{ a(1 - \mu^2)[r + (s - 1)M] - (r^2 - 2Mr + a^2)\sqrt{s^2 - 1}\mu \right\},$$
(38)

$$e^{2\gamma} = \frac{s^2(r^2 - 2Mr + a^2\mu^2)}{(M - r)^2 - (M^2 - a^2)\mu^2}.$$
(39)

# 4 Singularities of the DN spacetime

We can write (37) like

$$f = \frac{N}{D},\tag{40}$$

where

$$N(r,\mu) = s[r - r_{-}(\mu)][r - r_{+}(\mu)], \qquad (41)$$
$$D(r,\mu) = sr^{2} - 2(s-1)Mr + sa^{2}\mu^{2} -$$

**N** 7

$$2\sqrt{s^2 - 1}Ma\mu + 2(s - 1)M^2, \qquad (42)$$

with

$$r_{-}(\mu) = M - \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 \mu^2}, \ r_{+}(\mu) = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 \mu^2}.$$
(43)

The equations  $r = r_{-}(\mu)$  and  $r = r_{+}(\mu)$ , producing N = 0, define respectively the inner and outer ergospheres (see p. 316 in [10]). The inner horizon or Cauchy horizon, and the outer horizon or event horizon are given, respectively, by  $r_{-} = M - \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$  and  $r_{+} = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$  (see p. 278 in [10]). D = 0 defines the singularities of spacetime (see Appendix). In order to have this second order polynomial equation in *r* satisfied, we need

$$r = \frac{1}{s} \left[ (s-1)M \pm \sqrt{-s^2 a^2 (\mu - \mu_s)^2} \right], \quad (44)$$

with

$$\mu_s = \sqrt{s^2 - 1} \frac{M}{sa}.\tag{45}$$

Hence, to (44) produce real roots, one needs

$$\mu = \mu_s, \tag{46}$$

which defines the equation of a cone. In this case  $\mu$  is fixed, for a given *s*, and we can now write *D* with (46) like

$$D(r) = s(r - r_s)^2,$$
 (47)

where

$$r_s = \frac{(s-1)M}{s}.$$
 (48)

Then, according to (47), the only possible singular points for a given *s* are distributed on a sphere with radius

$$r = r_s. \tag{49}$$

But at the same time, the singular points have to satisfy condition (46), and the intersection of the two folds of the cone with the sphere (49) produce two rings. Hence the singularities for DN spacetime are spread along two symmetrical rings centered at the z axis. Therefore we can consider only the upper fold  $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ , remembering that we have to complete the picture by symmetry. Now, if we eliminate the parameter s between the two equations (46) and (49), we obtain

$$r = M - \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 \mu^2},$$
 (50)

which we recognize as the equation of the inner ergosphere given just after (43). Hence, each value of *s* corresponds to a ring singularity, which is a circle, being the intersection of the inner ergosphere with the cone (46), see Figure 1. The two circular rings are centered on the *z* axis at  $\pm z_s$ , where

$$z_s = r_s \mu_s = (s-1)\sqrt{s^2 - 1}\frac{M^2}{s^2 a},$$
 (51)

and the radius  $R_s$  of the two rings is

$$R_{s} = r_{s} \sin \theta_{s} = r_{s} \sqrt{1 - \mu_{s}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(s-1)M}{s} \sqrt{1 - \frac{(s^{2}-1)M^{2}}{s^{2}a^{2}}}.$$
(52)

Figure 1: Inner ergosphere of DN spacetime for the following values, a = 4 and M = 4.2, of the parameters. The vertical axis of revolution is the z axis. The orthogonal plane xy contains the angle  $\phi$ , and  $\theta$  is the angle between the z axis and the position vector of a point in the space. The intersections of the planes z = cte with the inner ergosphere are the ring singularities. For each value of s there are two ring singularities, symmetrical with respect to the plane xy. For the Kerr metric (s = 1) the ring singularity is reduced to the origin O. For  $s_{max}$ , the two ring singularities are the poles on the z axis.

We can say that *s* parametrizes the singular rings, intersections of the inner ergosphere with a continuous foliation of planes orthogonal to the *z* axis. For each value of *s* there is a different space-time, see (37)–(39). In particular for s = 1 we have the Kerr spacetime. However the inner ergosphere is the same, as well as the outer ergosphere and the two horizons, for all these metrics, i.e., for any *s* (see Figure 2). Only the ring singularity changes with *s*, and each ring singularity belongs to the inner ergosphere.

By definition  $0 \le \mu_s \le 1$ , hence from (45) we have

$$1 \le s \le s_{max} = \frac{M}{\sqrt{M^2 - a^2}},\tag{53}$$

and from (48) we have

$$0 \le r_s \le r_{smax} = r_{-} = M - \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}.$$
 (54)

For s = 1, which produces Kerr metric, we have from (48)  $r_s = 0$ , or from (52)  $R_s = 0$ . Hence the Kerr limit minimizes the radius of the ring singularity and reduces the two ring singularities to just one. The other metric that minimizes the radius (but produces two symmetrical ring singularities) happens when

$$s = s_{max} = \frac{M}{\sqrt{M^2 - a^2}},\tag{55}$$

giving, from (45),  $\mu_s = 1$  and, from (52),  $R_s = 0$ .



Figure 2: Ergospheres (with solid lines) and horizons (with dashed lines) of DN spacetime for the following values, a = 4 and M = 4.2, of the parameters. We plot here the intersections of the plane  $\phi = cte$  with the surfaces of revolution, obtained from the rotation of these curves around the *z* axis. The radius of Cauchy horizon is here  $r_{-} \simeq 2.92$ , and the radius of the event horizon  $r_{+} \simeq 5.48$ .

We can calculate the maximum radius  $R_{smax}$  of the ring singularities given by the equation (52) for  $R_s(s)$ . Calculating dR/ds we find the maximum which is for *s* given by

$$s = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8(1 - a^2/M^2)}}{2(1 - a^2/M^2)}.$$
 (56)

If  $a \ll M$  we see from (53) that  $1 \le s \le s_{max} \approx 1 + a^2/(2M^2)$ , hence up to first order  $\mathcal{O}(a/M)$  the spacetime reduces to Kerr spacetime. On the other hand, if a = 0 and  $s \ne 1$  we see that the spacetime reduces to Taub-NUT spacetime (see p. 387 in [5]) and D(r) = 0 has no real roots for *r*, demonstrating that there are no singularities in this case.

Finally, for the so-called "extreme black hole" a = M, (45) and (51)–(53) give

$$\mu_{s} = \frac{\sqrt{s^{2} - 1}}{s}, \ z_{s} = \frac{M(s - 1)\sqrt{s^{2} - 1}}{s^{2}},$$
$$R_{s} = \frac{M(s - 1)}{s^{2}}, \ 1 \le s < \infty$$
(57)

respectively, see Figures 3 and 4. The metric which maximizes the radius of the ring singularity is obtained from condition (56) for s = 4, and we obtain in this case

$$\mu_{s} = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} \text{ or } \theta_{s} \simeq 14,48^{\circ}, \ r_{s} = \frac{3M}{4},$$
$$z_{s} = \frac{3\sqrt{15}M}{16}, \ R_{s} = \frac{3M}{16}.$$
(58)



Figure 3: Inner ergosphere of a extreme DN black-hole for the following values, a = M = 4, of the parameters. The intersections of the planes z = cte with the inner ergosphere are the ring singularities. For each value of *s* there are two ring singularities, symmetrical with respect to the plane *xy*.



Figure 4: Ergospheres (with solid lines) and horizons (with dashed lines) of a extreme DN black-hole for the following values, a = M = 4, of the parameters. We plot here the intersections of the plane  $\phi = cte$  with the surfaces of revolution, obtained from the rotation of these curves around the *z* axis. Notice the continuity of the two ergospheres and of their slopes. The two horizons are the same,  $r_{\pm} = M = 4$ .

### 5 Conclusion

The usual presentation of the DN solution obtained from the Ernst equation introduces another prolate spheroidal coordinates and the BL transformation (12) instead of the Kerr's one (26), and new parameters  $p_{DN}$  and  $q_{DN}$  which are functions of M, a and l and linked by the relation (9) of Kerr's type.

In our interpretation of the DN solution, we keep the prolate spheroidal coordinates and the BL coordinate transformation of Kerr (26), but we introduce new parameters p and q depending on M, a and s and linked by (25) which is no longer of Kerr's type. Hence, the DN solution of the field equations for a given source M and a constitutes a family of metrics which can be parametrized by a dimensionless parameter s defined by equation (21). The Kerr solution, s = 1, belongs to this family.

We call generically "DN black hole" the set of ergospheres, horizons and singularities of this family. Then, the only change that *s* introduces on the DN black hole structure concerns the singularities. The ergospheres and horizons are the same for each metric of the DN family, i.e., whatever *s*, in particular for the Kerr metric (s = 1). it parametrizes only each ring singularity, which always belongs to the inner ergosphere, including the limiting case of Kerr (s = 1). So the Kerr metric appears as the one which minimizes the ring singularity.

### Appendix

Here we present the components of  $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$  for the metric (29), transformed in spherical coordinates, with (37)–(39). The convention used for the Riemann tensor is  $R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = -\Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma,\delta} + \dots$  Since the expressions become too long, we restrict to present only the denominators  $d[R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}]$  of its non null components. We use the definitions (41), (42) and  $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2$  producing the following components

$$d[R_{t\phi t\phi}] = 4sN^2D^3,$$
  

$$d[R_{t\phi t\phi}] = 4\Delta ND^2,$$
  

$$d[R_{t\theta t\theta}] = d[R_{t\theta tr}] = d[R_{trtr}] = 4\Delta ND^3,$$
  

$$d[R_{t\theta \phi \theta}] = d[R_{t\theta \phi r}] = d[R_{tr\phi \theta}] = d[R_{tr\phi r}] = 4\Delta N^2D^3,$$
  

$$d[R_{\phi \theta \phi \theta}] = d[R_{\phi \theta \phi r}] = d[R_{\phi r\phi r}] = 4\Delta N^3D^3,$$
  

$$d[R_{\theta r\theta r}] = 4\Delta D.$$
(59)

We see that the denominators of all components of the Riemann tensor become null only if D = 0, i.e., when the relations (45), (46), (48) and (49) are satisfied.

When s = 1 we reobtain the components of the Riemann tensor for Kerr spacetime.

The calculation of all the curvature invariants confirms that the condition D = 0 determines the singularities of DN spacetime.

### Acknowledgements

R. Colistete Jr. would like to thank CAPES of Brazil for financial support. N. O. Santos would like to thank the Laboratoire de Gravitation et Cosmologie Relativistes of Université Pierre et Marie Curie where part of this work has been done, for financial aid and hospitality.

## References

- [1] Papapetrou, A. Ann. der Phys. v. 12, p. 309, 1953.
- [2] Stephani, H., et al. Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [3] Kerr, R. P. Phys. Rev. Letters v. 11, p. 237, 1963.
- [4] Ernst, F. J. Phys. Rev. v. 167, p. 166, 1968.
- [5] Carmeli, M. Classical Fields: General Relativity and Gauge Theory, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1982.

- [6] Gariel, J.; Marcilhacy, G.; Santos, N. O. Gen. Rel. Grav. v. 35, p. 1549, 2003.
- [7] Demiański, M.; Newman, E. T.; Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Math. Astro. Phys. v. 14, p. 653, 1966.
- [8] Lynden-Bell, D.; Nouri-Zonoz, M. Review of Modern Physics v. 70, p. 427, 1998.
- [9] Gariel, J.; Marcilhacy, G.; Santos, N. O. Gen. Rel. Grav. v. 36, p. 2175, 2004.
- [10] Chandrasekhar, S. The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1983.